

I find Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to air a so-called "documentary" that is clearly politically based so close to an election to be appalling. Based on Sinclair's history, this new 'attackumentary' is obviously politically motivated and appears in stark violation of campaign and broadcasting rules. The fact that they are forcing all of their stations to run this political attack ad makes it even worse.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.